Large Mission Concept Studies Kick Off
AAS 227th Meeting
Kissimmee, Florida
January 6, 2016

Guiding documents for the mission concept studies are posted at http://science.nasa.gov/astrophysics/2020-decadal-survey-planning/
Large Mission Concept Studies

- NASA will study large mission concepts as candidate for prioritization within Large Missions category
  - Science case
  - Technology assessment
  - Design reference mission with strawman payload
  - Cost assessment

- Charge to the Astrophysics Program Analysis Groups (PAGs): COPAG, ExoPAG, PhysPAG (December 2014)
  - “I am charging the Astrophysics PAGs to solicit community input for the purpose of commenting on the small set [of large mission concepts to study], including adding or subtracting large mission concepts.”

- PAGs reported to the Astrophysics Subcommittee in October 2015
  - PAGs unanimously endorsed a common set of four mission concepts to study
  - Astrophysics Subcommittee reported to the NAC Science Committee that NASA should study these four mission concepts
Large Mission Concept Studies

NASA will initiate mission concept studies of the following four large mission concepts:

• **FAR IR Surveyor** – The Astrophysics Visionary Roadmap identifies a Far IR Surveyor as contributing through improvements in sensitivity, spectroscopy, and angular resolution.

• **Habitable-Exoplanet Imaging Mission** – The 2010 Decadal Survey recommends that a habitable-exoplanet imaging mission be studied in time for consideration by the 2020 Decadal Survey.

• **Large UV/Optical/IR Surveyor** – The Astrophysics Visionary Roadmap identifies a Large UV/Optical/IR Surveyor as contributing through improvements in sensitivity, spectroscopy, high contrast imaging, astrometry, angular resolution and/or wavelength coverage. The 2010 Decadal Survey recommends that NASA prepare for a UV mission to be considered by the 2020 Decadal Survey.

• **X-ray Surveyor** – The Astrophysics Visionary Roadmap identifies an X-ray Surveyor as contributing through improvements in sensitivity, spectroscopy, and angular resolution.
NASA is asking for applications for membership on the four large mission concept Science and Technology Definition Teams (STDTs)

- STDTs have significant role and responsibility
  - Develop science case
  - Flow science case into mission requirements
  - Vet technology gap list
  - Direct trades of science vs cost/capability

- STDT members will be appointed by NASA HQ
  - Community call for applications will be released via NSPIRES and Astrophysics Programs mailing lists on the day after the AAS Town Hall
  - Responses requested by February 1, 2016

- STDTs will be chartered and managed by HQ
  - Charter and management plan available at

http://science.nasa.gov/astrophysics/2020-decadal-survey-planning/
Large Mission Concept Studies: Science and Technology Definition Teams

• Applications for the STDTs are due to NASA by February 1, 2016.
• The application material should consist of:
  – A two-page cover letter describing
    1. The STDT of choice,
    2. The reasons for the submitter's interest in the STDT, and
    3. The capabilities and experience that the submitter brings to the STDT;
  – A short statement of commitment to perform the tasks assigned to the STDT within the allocated timeframe, and
  – A one or two page resume including relevant publications.

Applications are solicited from the community at U.S.-based research and academic institutions, Government laboratories, industry, and private individuals.

http://science.nasa.gov/astrophysics/2020-decadal-survey-planning/
Large Mission Concept Studies: Rationale

• The four Large Mission Concept Studies will inform the 2020 NRC Decadal Survey
  – NASA anticipates that the Decadal Survey Committee will use these studies in formulating their recommendation for the priorities for NASA’s large strategic missions following JWST and WFIRST

• NASA defines "full success" as delivery to the Decadal Survey Committee of four compelling and executable concepts so that the science of all four large missions can be adequately prioritized by the Committee
  – By executable we mean feasible with respect to technical, cost, and risk resources outlined in the Study Report

• NASA’s priority is the most compelling science that can be accomplished

• The role of the study teams is to make the best case for the concepts

• Assessment and prioritization within an astrophysics portfolio is the job of the 2020 Decadal Survey Committee
Large Mission Concept Studies: Guidelines

- Study Teams are not in competition with each other.
- Study Teams (especially leadership) are encouraged to create a collaborative environment that allows for each team to promote their concept.
- Study Teams are encouraged to share or combine technical areas or observing strategies to optimize design concepts.
- Study Teams should explore a range of trades to understand the relative relationship of cost, risk, and science for the concepts.
- Present their implementation strategies as “reference missions” – credible hardware configurations that can achieve the science goals and are sufficiently defined for a reasonable cost evaluation.
  - Recognize that any actual mission will likely vary from the study concept.
Large Mission Concept Studies: Definition of term “Study Team”

Study Team
- Union of STDT and Study Office
- Work together as one team for success of Study
- Each has distinct and complementary roles on the Study Team

Observers
- Welcome and not part of Study Team per se
- Attendance is optional or on-call
Large Mission Concept Studies: Management Concept

- Study Team
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- Decadal Studies Management Team
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Study Deliverables
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M1 Comments on Study Requirements and Deliverables
- Accept the study requirements/deliverables and submit plan--- or
- Provide rationale for modifying requirements/deliverables
  April 29 2016

O1 Optional: Deliver Initial Technology Gap Assessment
- To impact PCOS/COR/ExEP technology cycle
  June 30 2016

M2 Detailed Study Plan
- Document starting point CML
- Deliver detailed study plan for achieving Decadal CML
- Deliver resource required to meet the deliverables for the study duration
- Deliver schedule to deliver milestones
  August 26 2016

M3 Complete Concept Maturity Level 2 Audit
- Identify, quantify and prioritize technology gaps for 2017 technology cycle
  February 2017

O2 Optional: Update Technology Gap Assessments
  June 2017

M4 Interim Report
- Substantiate achieving Concept Maturity Level 3
- Deliver initial technology roadmaps; estimate technology development cost/schedule
  Early Dec 2017

M5 Update Gap Assessments
- In support of 2018 technology cycle
  June 2018

M6 Complete Decadal Concept Maturity Level 4 Audit & Freeze Design
- Support independent cost estimation/validation process
  August 2018

M7 Final Report
- Finalize technology roadmaps, tech plan and cost estimates for technology maturity
  January 2019

M8 Submit to Decadal
  March 2019

1 APD will provide final study requirements by May 2016 (see “Near Term Activities”)
2 Timed to influence following NASA budget cycle
## Large Mission Concept Studies: Near Term Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity / Milestones</th>
<th>Schedule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Invitation at AAS conference for STDT nominations. Release STDT charter and brief mgmt. approach</td>
<td>Jan 6, 2016 (ref charter and mgmt. approach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STDT membership applications due</td>
<td>Feb 1, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Team finalization, set first meetings and telecons</td>
<td>March 10, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studies kick off</td>
<td>Early April, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>M1</strong> Receive comments on the study guidelines from Study Team (Deliverable 1)</td>
<td>April 30, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finalize study guidelines and management plan</td>
<td>May 30, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>M2</strong> Detailed study execution plan (Deliverable 2)</td>
<td>Aug 1, 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Large Mission Concept Studies: Center Study Scientists and HQ Program Scientists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mission Type</th>
<th>Community STDT Chair</th>
<th>Center Study Scientist</th>
<th>Study Lead Center</th>
<th>HQ Program Scientist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Far IR Surveyor</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>David Leisawitz</td>
<td>GSFC</td>
<td>Kartik Sheth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitable Exoplanet Imaging Mission</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Bertrand Mennesson</td>
<td>JPL</td>
<td>Martin Still</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large UV/Optical/IR Surveyor</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Aki Roberge</td>
<td>GSFC</td>
<td>Mario Perez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray Surveyor</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Jessica Gaskin</td>
<td>MSFC</td>
<td>Dan Evans</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

http://science.nasa.gov/astrophysics/2020-decadal-survey-planning/