

# **UV Coatings, Polarization, and Coronagraphy**

**Matthew R. Bolcar, Manuel Quijada, Garrett West (GSFC)**

**Bala Balasubramanian, John Krist, Stefan Martin (JPL)**

**Derek Sabatke (BATC)**

# Objectives

- Review of UV coatings and state-of-the-art
- Review of polarization aberration and the impact on coronagraphy
- Recent study results
  - HabEx
  - LUVOIR
- Work to be done

# Objectives

- Review of UV coatings and state-of-the-art
- Review of polarization aberration and the impact on coronagraphy
- Recent study results
  - HabEx
  - LUVOIR
- Work to be done

# UV Coatings

- Sensitivity in the UV requires aluminum-coated mirrors

# UV Coatings

- Sensitivity in the UV requires aluminum-coated mirrors
- Aluminum oxidizes nearly instantly upon exposure to air (even at very low pressures)
  - Oxidation layer reduces UV reflectivity


# UV Coatings

- Sensitivity in the UV requires aluminum-coated mirrors
- Aluminum oxidizes nearly instantly upon exposure to air (even at very low pressures)
  - Oxidation layer reduces UV reflectivity
- Protective overcoats are used to prevent oxidation
  - Commonly fluoride overcoats, such as  $\text{MgF}_2$ ,  $\text{LiF}$ , or  $\text{AlF}_3$
  - Provide high reflectivity for wavelengths as short as  $\sim 110$  nm
  - Shortward of 110 nm, reflectivity depends on material and deposition process

# UV Coatings


- Al + MgF<sub>2</sub>
  - New “hot” deposition process heats substrate to 220° C
  - Improved reflectivity (> 85%) above 120 nm
  - Cutoff occurs around 115 nm with limited reflectivity shortward
    - ~20% reflectivity at 100 nm
- Al + LiF
  - Lower cutoff than MgF<sub>2</sub>, achieving 80% reflectivity as low as ~105 nm
  - LiF is hygroscopic
    - Performance degrades with exposure to water vapor in air
    - Would require dry purge on mirrors during ground operations, OR
    - Requires an additional protective overcoat which can further suppress reflectivity
- Al + AlF<sub>3</sub>
  - Promising new coating with low cutoff like LiF, and stability of MgF<sub>2</sub>

# UV Coatings







# UV Coatings




# UV Coatings



# UV Coatings



# UV Coatings





# Objectives

- Review of UV coatings and state-of-the-art
- Review of polarization aberration and the impact on coronagraphy
- Recent study results
  - HabEx
  - LUVOIR
- Work to be done

# How Coatings Affect Polarization

- Terminology:


- *Diattenuation*: when each polarization state see a different amplitude upon reflection
- *Retardance*: when each polarization state sees a different phase upon reflection



# How Coatings Affect Polarization


- There are two *mechanisms* of affecting the polarization-dependent amplitude and phase
- ***Polarization Aberration:***
  - Each polarization state acquires a different amplitude and wavefront error as it traverses the system
- ***Cross-polarization Leakage:***
  - Some of each polarization state “leaks” into the orthogonal polarization state
- Net result is ***four*** incoherent (i.e. independent, uncorrelated) electric fields that must be corrected by the coronagraph

# Example:





# Example:




$$E = \begin{Bmatrix} E_x \\ E_y \end{Bmatrix}$$


$$E = \begin{Bmatrix} E_{xx} & E_{yx} \\ E_{xy} & E_{yy} \end{Bmatrix}$$

Polarization Aberration Terms

# Example:




## Example:




- Four incoherent (uncorrelated), aberrated fields
- If we do nothing:
  - Coronagraph senses and corrects the average electric field
  - Uncorrected portion contributes to contrast leakage

# Potential Solution #1:



- Filter polarization at detector plane
- Image is constructed of only “x” polarization components
- Again, coronagraph senses and corrects the average of the remaining terms
- $E_{xx} \gg E_{yx}$  so we get good, but imperfect correction
- But you lose 50% of the light at the detector

## Potential Solution #2:



- Split polarizations before entering separate coronagraphs
- Still sensing average of primary and cross-polarization fields, so correction is good, but imperfect
- Retain 100% of the light
- Requires 2x coronagraphs (2x optics, 2x detectors, 2x DMs)

# Other Mitigation Strategies

- Limit angles-of-incidence (AOI):
  - Slower primary and secondary mirror F/#'s
  - Avoid flat-fold mirrors at high AOI
    - Can be used in “crossed-pairs” to cancel each other out
  - Creates “long” optical systems which makes packaging and stability difficult

# Other Mitigation Strategies

- Limit angles-of-incidence (AOI):
  - Slower primary and secondary mirror F/#'s
  - Avoid flat-fold mirrors at high AOI
    - Can be used in “crossed-pairs” to cancel each other out
  - Creates “long” optical systems which makes packaging and stability difficult
- Optimize coatings:
  - Simultaneously optimizing coating properties/prescription with the optical design can minimize polarization aberration effects

# Other Mitigation Strategies

- Limit angles-of-incidence (AOI):
  - Slower primary and secondary mirror F/#'s
  - Avoid flat-fold mirrors at high AOI
    - Can be used in “crossed-pairs” to cancel each other out
  - Creates “long” optical systems which makes packaging and stability difficult
- Optimize coatings:
  - Simultaneously optimizing coating properties/prescription with the optical design can minimize polarization aberration effects
- Polarization compensating components:
  - Investigate active or passive devices that may be able to compensate polarization aberrations
    - Liquid crystals, nonlinear devices, etc.




# Objectives

- Review of UV coatings and state-of-the-art
- Review of polarization aberration and the impact on coronagraphy
- **Recent study results**
  - HabEx
  - LUVOIR
- Work to be done


# HabEx Polarization Study

- Design based on Exo-C Telescope resized from 1.4 m to 4.0 m diameter
- Telescope is an off-axis, unobscured Ritchey-Chretien design
- Produced a family of designs with primary mirror F#s of 2.5, 2.0, 1.5, 1.25
- For analysis, polarization wavefront analyzed from primary mirror to DM1
- Two scenarios:
  - Coatings on PM, SM, TM are aluminum, with silver on rest of optics, OR
  - All mirrors are silver


# Four designs, Primary F#s 2.5, 2.0, 1.5 and 1.25



# X-Y RMS WFE




# HabEx: X-Y Polarization Wavefront Differences, f/2.5



*Tilt & astigmatism are the main polarization aberrations*


# HLC: Post-EFC Contrast: 400-490 nm

All polarizations



# HLC: Post-EFC Contrast: 720-880 nm

All polarizations



## One Line Summary (courtesy J. Krist):

***“Use overcoated Aluminum on the 1<sup>st</sup> three optics.”***




# LUVOIR Polarization Study

- Four Ritchey-Chretien telescope designs generated:
  - 9m, 12m, 16m on-axis
  - 9m off-axis
- All designs have:
  - 3x3 arcmin diffraction-limited field-of-view
  - Same Primary-to-Secondary Mirror separation
  - Same focal length and hence same plate scale (pixel size on sky)
  - Aluminum-coated mirrors
- Primary mirror F/# varies with design:
  - F/1.1 for 16-m
  - F/1.45 for 12-m
  - F/1.95 for 9-m on-axis
  - F/1.96 for 9-m off-axis

# LUVOIR Designs


9-m, F/1.95  
Max AOI: 8.51°



LUVOIR OTE RC

Scale: 0.01 GJW 30-Sep-16


12-m, F/1.45  
Max AOI: 11.43°



LUVOIR OTE RC

Scale: 0.01 GJW 30-Sep-16


16-m, F/1.1  
Max AOI: 15.1°



LUVOIR OTE RC

Scale: 0.0093 GJW 30-Sep-16

9-m, F/1.96  
Max AOI: 20.26°



LUVOIR OTE RC


Scale: 0.01 GJW 17-Oct-16

# LUVOIR Study is Ongoing

- Preliminary polarization aberration result is available for a single scenario:
  - 12-m on-axis design (F/1.45) at a wavelength of 1  $\mu\text{m}$

# LUVOIR Study is Ongoing


- Preliminary polarization aberration result is available for a single scenario:
  - 12-m on-axis design (F/1.45) at a wavelength of 1  $\mu\text{m}$



# LUVOIR Study is Ongoing

- Preliminary polarization aberration result is available for a single scenario:

- 12-m on-axis design (F/1.45) at a wavelength of 1  $\mu\text{m}$



# Objectives

- Review of UV coatings and state-of-the-art
- Review of polarization aberration and the impact on coronagraphy
- Recent study results
  - HabEx
  - LUVOIR
- **Work to be done**

# Work to Be Done

- LUVOIR needs to complete analysis of other designs and perform propagation through coronagraph
  - Of specific interest is the on- vs. off-axis trade

# Work to Be Done

- LUVOIR needs to complete analysis of other designs and perform propagation through coronagraph
  - Of specific interest is the on- vs. off-axis trade
- Both studies should improve fidelity of coating models
  - Hubble Al+MgF<sub>2</sub> was used for HabEx, and Al+SiO<sub>2</sub> was used for LUVOIR
  - Update with newer MgF<sub>2</sub>, LiF, or AlF<sub>3</sub> coating information



# Work to Be Done

- LUVOIR needs to complete analysis of other designs and perform propagation through coronagraph
  - Of specific interest is the on- vs. off-axis trade
- Both studies should improve fidelity of coating models
  - Hubble Al+MgF<sub>2</sub> was used for HabEx, and Al+SiO<sub>2</sub> was used for LUVOIR
  - Update with newer MgF<sub>2</sub>, LiF, or AlF<sub>3</sub> coating information
- Investigate optimizing coatings to balance/minimize polarization aberration

# Work to Be Done

- LUVOIR needs to complete analysis of other designs and perform propagation through coronagraph
  - Of specific interest is the on- vs. off-axis trade
- Both studies should improve fidelity of coating models
  - Hubble Al+MgF<sub>2</sub> was used for HabEx, and Al+SiO<sub>2</sub> was used for LUVOIR
  - Update with newer MgF<sub>2</sub>, LiF, or AlF<sub>3</sub> coating information
- Investigate optimizing coatings to balance/minimize polarization aberration
- If different coronagraph channels will be used to process each polarization orientation separately, need to understand impact of cross-polarization leakage

# Summary

- Recent protected-Al coatings deliver high-reflectivity down to 110 nm
  - Performance below 110 nm depends on prescription and deposition processes

# Summary

- Recent protected-Al coatings deliver high-reflectivity down to 110 nm
  - Performance below 110 nm depends on prescription and deposition processes
- Both LUVOIR & HabEx are pursuing parametric studies to understand trades with respect to telescope aperture and design

# Summary

- Recent protected-Al coatings deliver high-reflectivity down to 110 nm
  - Performance below 110 nm depends on prescription and deposition processes
- Both LUVOIR & HabEx are pursuing parametric studies to understand trades with respect to telescope aperture and design
- HabEx study shows aluminum coating appears to be better than, or approximately equivalent to, silver coating with respect to polarization